

UNITED STATE'S PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigana 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/901,444	07/09/2001		Robert L. Horton	05542/009002	7706
22511	7590	07/30/2003			•
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P.				EXAMINER	
1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE SUITE 2800				TUCKER, PHILIP C	
HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712	7
				DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		wk.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055 - 4-41 0	09/901,444	HORTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Philip C Tucker	1712					
- The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	<u>//ay 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/901,444

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to teach that the mixing of the well fluid occurs in the absence of a cross-linkant. Negative limitations which appear in the claim and not in the specification introduces new concepts, and violates the description requirement of 35 USC 112 first paragraph (Ex Parte Graselli 231 USPQ 393).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-16, 18-24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quattrini (3660287).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,444

Art Unit: 1712

į

Quattrini teaches a water based fluid which is used to remove deposits from wells, which comprises an ethanol amine and polyethylene glycol (see Examples 1 and 3).

5. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-16,18-21, 22, 23, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muijs (5061386).

Muijs teaches a water based drilling fluid which can comprise an amine and a copolymer of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide, and further teaches brines which can comprise the amine and either polyethylene glycol or polypropylene glycol (see claims, and column 1, line 67 – column 3, line 2). In the case of the brine compositions B-H, applicants intended use as a well fluid does not distinguish the prior art (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641).

6. Applicants amendment has distinguished over the prior art of Holtmeyer, Rose and Patel, but adds new matter as outlined above. New rejections under 35 USC 102 are also presented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 703-308-0529. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1712

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-2850 July 23, 2003